Case 5:08-mj-70284-PVT Document 15 Filed 08/11/2008 Page 1 of 2 1 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CASBN 44332) United States Attorney 2 BRIAN J. STRETCH (CASBN 163973) 3 Chief, Criminal Division 4 JOSEPH A. FAZIOLI (ILSBN 6273413) Assistant United States Attorney 5 150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900 6 San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: (408) 535-5061 Facsimile: (408) 535-5081 7 E-Mail: joseph.fazioli@usdoj.gov 8 Attorneys for the United States 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 SAN JOSE DIVISION 13 14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 08-70284 PVT STIPULATION AND PROPOSED 15 Plaintiff, ORDER CONTINUING PRELIMINARY 16 HEARING/ARRAIGNMENT AND v. **EXCLUDING TIME FROM RULE 5.1** 17 AND THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT KHAN QUOC TIEU, CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. 18 § 3161(h)(8)(A)) Defendant. 19 20 This matter is scheduled before the Court for preliminary hearing or arraignment on 21 August 14, 2008. Due the parties' on-going discussions regarding pre-charge negotiation and 22 discovery in this case, the parties respectfully request that defendant's preliminary hearing or 23 arraignment be continued until August 14, 2008. The parties agree, and the Court finds and 24 holds, as follows: 1. Defendant's preliminary hearing or arraignment is continued to September 4, 25 2008. 26 2. 27 Time is excluded under Rule 5.1 from August 14, 2008 and September 4, 2008 in 28 order to allow defense counsel time to confer with her client and to conduct additional pre-charge STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CR 08-70284 PVT

Document 16

Filed 08/12/2008

Page 1 of 2

Case 5:08-mj-70284-PVT

Case 5:08-mj-70284-PVT Document 16 Filed 08/12/2008 Page 2 of 2

Case 5:08-mj-70284-PVT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

25

26

27

28

Document 15

Filed 08/11/2008

Page 2 of 2

investigation. The parties agree that the continuance is proper under Rule 5.1 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and 18 U.S.C. § 3060. The time between August 14, 2008 and September 4, 2008 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act. The parties agree that the failure to grant the requested would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The parties agree that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). IT IS SO STIPULATED: 10 DATED: 8/11/08 11 Attorney for Defendant Tieu 12 13 DATED: 8/11/08 14 Assistant United States Attorney IT IS SO ORDERED. 15 16 17 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CR 08-70284 PVT